School District Report Card 2016-2017

Taos Academy Charter

School Grading Summary The district grade is determined by the **District Grade** A average of school grades in the district. For a description of status, see page 2. **Total Number** Percent **Schools Rated in District** 100.0 0 **Schools in Priority Status** 0.0 0 0.0 **Schools in Focus Status** Schools in Strategic Status 0 0.0 Schools in Reward Status 1 100.0

Source: PED Accountability Bureau

What are school grades?

School Grading is part of state and federal law that mandates accountability for all public schools. The Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) enacted in 1965 requires schools to show annual improvement in mathematics and reading. New Mexico statute specifies additional requirements that schools demonstrate progress through an A-F letter grade for each school. Individual school report cards can be found online at http://aae.ped.state.nm.us/.

What are School District Report Cards?

Each LEA under the jurisdiction of the Public Education Department (PED) annually receives a comprehensive report of their achievement, accountability, teacher qualifications, and post-secondary success. This report is compiled for 89 districts that include regular and locally authorized charter schools, and all state-authorized charter schools. Non-PED schools are exempt from both school grading and School District Report Cards and include private, home, and Bureau of Indian Education schools.

What is contained in this report?

This report provides a concise summary of the LEA and its schools:

- LEA Demographic Profile
- Accountability
 - Summaries of School Grades
 - · Cohort Graduation Rates (4, 5, and 6 Year)
 - $^{\circ}$ Status of Non-Graduates
- Achievement
 - \circ Proficiencies in Reading, Mathematics, and Science
 - NAEP Statewide Summary for Grades 4 and 8
- School Board Member Training
- Budgeted Expenditures
- Teacher Credentials
- Post-Secondary Achievement (College Going, Credit Accumulation)
- Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

Definitions and Abbreviations

<u>LEA</u> Local Educational Agency is a broad term that encompasses districts with multiple schools or independent state-authorized charter schools. Locally authorized charter schools are not LEAs and are reported with their parent district.

Subgroups

Asian: Asian or Pacific Islander
Afr Am: African American
Amer Indian: American Indian
Cauc: Caucasian

ELL: English Language Learners

ED: Economically Disadvantaged as determined by

eligibility for Free or Reduced Price Lunch Program

SWD: Students with disabilities; does not include special

education students who are gifted

Q1: The lowest performing 25% (one quarter) of students

in reading or mathematics

Q3: The higher performing 75% (three quarters) of

students in reading or mathematics

<u>High/Low Poverty Schools</u> Schools with students most economically disadvantaged (top 25%) and least disadvantaged (bottom 25%).

<u>Recently Arrived</u> These are ELL students new to U.S. schools who qualify for exemption from the reading assessment.

Student Demogra	Student Demographics								
	LEA		State						
	Number	%	Number	%					
All Students	203	0.1	336,326	100.0					
Female	91	44.8	164,089	48.8					
Male	112	55.2	172,237	51.2					
Caucasian	84	41.4	81,394	24.2					
African American	6	3.0	7,600	2.3					
Hispanic	103	50.7	206,348	61.4					
Asian	3	1.5	4,457	1.3					
American Indian	7	3.4	35,884	10.7					
ED	139	68.5	249,348	74.1					
SWD	26	12.8	52,927	15.7					
ELL	0	0.0	45,669	13.6					
Migrant	0	0.0	428	0.1					
Recently Arrived	0	0.0	16,801	5.0					
	Source: LEA 120th-day submission to the PED								

Accountability - School Grading and Status

Status refers to schools that are in some form of improvement that requires increased monitoring and educational enhancement. The improvement categories are

*** Priority Status (5% of schools that are lowest performing)

- ** Focus Status (additional 10% of schools that are low performing with large gaps between lower and higher performing groups)
- * Strategic Status (additional 10% of schools that are low performing with large gaps between lower and higher performing groups)
- ^ Reward Status (the top 5% of schools in the state)

A school's status is footnoted next to its overall letter grade and, where blank, means the school is not in any status. Only schools receiving Title I funds are eligible, which in 2017 represented 685 schools.

School	Overall Grade	School	Overall Grade
Taos Academy Charter	Α ^		

Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by Grade

The assessments were developed to measure grade-level standards that New Mexico educators and the public determined are important for students to master. Results include all students enrolled within the LEA or school, regardless of whether for a full academic year or not. Students are assessed in reading and mathematics in grades 3-11 and in science in grades 4, 7, and 11. Note that proficiencies do not include the assessment for grades KN, 1 and 2.

		Rea	ading	Mathe	matics	Scier	nce
Grade		Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)
3	State Current	27	73	30	70		
3	State Prior	25	75	30	70		
4	State Current	26	74	23	77	40	60
4	State Prior	25	75	23	77	43	57
5	State Current	30	70	24	76		
5	State Prior	25	75	26	74		
5	LEA Current						
5	LEA Prior	≤ 20	≥ 80	≤ 20	≥ 80		
6	State Current	26	74	20	80		
6	State Prior	24	76	20	80		
6	LEA Current	41	59	31	69		
6	LEA Prior	36	64	32	68		
7	State Current	27	73	17	83	45	55
7	State Prior	23	77	18	82	45	55
7	LEA Current	46	54	27	73	62	38
7	LEA Prior	22	78	31	69	44	56
8	State Current	29	71	21	79		
8	State Prior	26	74	20	80		
8	LEA Current	48	52	28	72		
8	LEA Prior	64	36	49	51		
9	State Current	26	74	17	83		
9	State Prior	27	73	18	82		
9	LEA Current	56	44	48	52		
9	LEA Prior	59	41	59	41		
10	State Current	32	68	15	85		
10	State Prior	32	68	13	87		
10	LEA Current	77	23	53	47		
10	LEA Prior	50	50	57	43		
11	State Current	43	57	9	91	35	65
11	State Prior	45	55	10	90	39	61
11	LEA Current	75	25	24	76	64	36
11	LEA Prior	68	32	38	63	27	73
Blanks or	missing rows indicate	too few students to re	port (N<10)				

Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by Subgroup										
	Reading		Mathem	atics	Scienc	се				
		Not		Not		Not				
	Proficient	Proficient	Proficient	Proficient	Proficient	Proficient				
Taos Academy Charter			Page 2 of 5	School	District Report Ca	rd 2016-2017				

		(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
All Students	State Current	37	63	20	80	40	60
All Students	LEA Current	57	43	36	64	63	38
Female	State Current	42	58	20	80	39	61
Female	LEA Current	70	30	38	62	55	45
Male	State Current	32	68	20	80	42	58
Male	LEA Current	47	53	34	66	69	31
Caucasian	State Current	52	48	33	67	61	39
Caucasian	LEA Current	63	38	40	60	76	24
African American	State Current	34	66	15	85	37	63
African American	LEA Current						
Hispanic	State Current	33	67	16	84	34	66
Hispanic	LEA Current	53	47	34	66	36	64
Asian	State Current	61	39	50	50	66	34
Asian	LEA Current						
American Indian	State Current	26	74	11	89	22	78
American Indian	LEA Current						
Economically Disadvantaged	State Current	31	69	15	85	32	68
Economically Disadvantaged	LEA Current	56	44	33	67	69	31
Students w Disabilities	State Current	19	81	9	91	18	82
Students w Disabilities	LEA Current	37	63	33	67		
English Language Learners, Current		20	80	10	90	16	84
English Language Learners, Current	LEA Current						

Achievement - Proficiency Summar	ies by Sch	ool					
	Reading		Mather	natics	Science		
	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	
Taos Academy Charter	57	43	36	64	63	38	
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10). Schools without tested grades 3 through 11 will not have data. Source: PED Accountability Bu							

Budgeted Expenditures

Locally authorized charter schools manage their budgets independently of their parent district. For detailed information please contact either the individual school or the PED Budget and Finance Office for the budget analyst assigned to that school. The district summary includes its locally authorized charter schools.

•	•	
	Amount \$	Percent %
	Ą	/0
Capital Outlay	\$1,126,943	34.3
Central Services	\$157,454	4.8
Community Services	\$0	0.0
Debt Service	\$0	0.0
Food Services	\$0	0.0
General Administration	\$17,462	0.5
Instruction	\$1,366,602	41.6
Instruction Support Services	\$2,543	0.1
Operations & Maintenance	\$193,030	5.9
Other Support Services	\$0	0.0
School Administration	\$253,101	7.7
Student Support Services	\$166,792	5.1
Student Transportation	\$0	0.0
	Source: PED School Budge	et and Financial Analysis Bureau

School Board Training

School board members must accumulate five points during the year by attending specific training. These figures do not reflect additional training that board members may have received.

Board Member		Number of Points				
Bill MacDonald		5				
Dean Caldwell		5				
Kristin Torres		5				
Matthew Currey		5				
Michelle Cisneros		5				
Simeon Herskovits		5				
	Source: NM School Board Association					

Graduation - 4-Year Cohort of 2016

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2016, and graduated on time. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All Students %	Caucasian %	Afr Amer %	Hispanic %	Asian %	Amer Indian %	ED %	SWD %	ELL %
State Current	71	76	61	71	81	63	67	62	67

Taos Academy Charter Page 3 of 5 School District Report Card 2016-2017

	All Students %	Caucasian %	Afr Amer %	Hispanic %	Asian %	Amer Indian %	ED %	SWD %	ELL %
State Current	71	76	61	71	81	63	67	62	67
Taos Academy Charter	79	76					79		
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10).						Source	e: PED Acc	countability E	Bureau

Graduation - 5-Year Cohort of 2015

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2015, and either graduated on time or required one additional year. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All		Afr			Amer			
	Students	Caucasian	Amer	Hispanic	Asian	Indian	ED	SWD	ELL
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
State Current	75	79	68	74	84	71	72	68	73
Taos Academy Charter	≥ 80								
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10)						So	urca: PED	Accountabili	ity Rureau

Graduation - 6-Year Cohort of 2014

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2014, and either graduated on time or required up to two additional years. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All Students %	Caucasian %	Afr Amer %	Hispanic %	Asian %	Amer Indian %	ED %	SWD %	ELL %
State Current	79	83	76	78	91	75	75	72	76
Taos Academy Charter	≥ 80	≥ 80							
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10).						Sou	urce: PED	Accountabili	ty Bureau

College Going and College Credit Accumulation

These figures represent students who graduated in 2015 (College Going) and 2013 (Credits Earned) and were tracked for post-secondary education both inside and outside the state.

Eligible Students earning a regular high school diploma.

Enrolled Students who enrolled in an institution of higher education within 16 months of earning a regular high school diploma.

Credits Earned Students who enrolled and earned one year of college credit within two years of enrollment.

Cicalis Lamea Stadents who emones	Stadents who emolica and carried one year or conege create within two years or emolinents.											
		All Students N	Cauc N	Afr Amer N	Hisp N	Asian N	Amer Indian N	ED N	SWD N	ELL N		
State Charter	Eligible	14										
State Charter	Enrolled in state											
State Charter	Enrolled out of state											
State Charter	Credits Earned	11										
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10).							Source: N	ational S	Student Clea	ringhouse		

Teacher Credentials					
	•			ewide	LEA
				%	%
Teachers with Emergency or Provisional Credentials			(0.3	0.0
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	High Poverty Schools			NA	
Core classes Not raught by riighty Qualified reachers	Low Poverty Schools		ı	NΑ	NA
NA= Not applicable; LEA did not have schools that qualified as h	nigh or low pove	erty.			
Professsional Qualifications		Highest D	egree*		Classes Not
Troresssional Qualifications	Number				t by Highly
	of Teachers	Bachelor's %	Advanced %	Qualific	ed Teachers %
Taos Academy Charter	20	40.0	60.0		0.0
* Does not include Below Bachelors					
Blank=no data available or not applicable		So	urce: LEA 120th	n-day subm	nission to PED

Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

- Q1 My child is safe at school.
- Q2 My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3 My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4 School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5 The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6 School staff maintain consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7 My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8 My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9 The school staff employ various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10 My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

	Cumany	Agree and Strongly Agree (% of Respondents)										
	Survey Count	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	
Districtwide	212	99	99	100	99	100	98	98	98	98	94	
Taos Academy Charter	212	99	99	100	99	100	98	98	98	98	94	
Source: PED anonymous survey collected from parents annual												

National Assessment of Educational Progress Statewide Results

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is often called the "Nation's Report Card" because it allows the comparison of student achievement across states and for the nation as a whole. The sampling method does not allow for reporting results by district or by school. For further information please visit http://NCES.ED.Gov/NationsReportCard.

NAEP does not replace assessments that annually measure student performance according to New Mexico curriculum standards. All students are required to take the standards-based assessments, whereas the NAEP selects representative samples of students and districts. Because not all subject areas or grade levels are tested every year, these statewide results are for the most recent year assessed in that subject area and grade.

Statewide Pa	Statewide Participation 2015											
	Reading %	Math %	Science %									
4th Grade ELL	91	95	95									
4th Grade SWD*	93	88	93									
8th Grade ELL	92	95	96									
8th Grade SWD*	89	90	92									

^{*} NAEP does not accommodate students with severe disabilities.

4th	Reading (2015)				Math (2015)				Science (2015)				
Grade	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	
New Mexico	4	19	31	46	3	24	47	27	#	24	40	37	
Nation	8	27	33	32	7	32	42	19	1	36	39	25	

8th	Reading (2015)				Math (2015)				Science (2015)				
Grade	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	
New Mexico	1	19	45	35	3	17	41	39	1	20	35	45	
Nation	3	29	42	25	8	24	38	30	2	31	34	33	

[#] Rounds to zero